

The TriUnity Series Show #2

Notes

BOOK TOPIC POINTS TO SEGWAY INTO: I will be paraphrasing from the following pages:

☒ Priest Craft – Trunkey Tyranny – Pg. 78 to end of 79 - - *Explaining the essence of this Holographic creation and how it is being manipulated by them]*

☒ The new Mythical Code – Web-Bot Program Pg. 82 - - *Showing the new version of the same system]*

☒ Who’s wagging who here? Pg. 83 to 2nd paragraph on Pg. 84 - - *The main reason why they are doing this to us]*

END WITH Quotes: Pg. 171, Chap 9 – 1st & 2nd Para – (Narratives = Control of the collective paradigm)

☒ Our frequency receivers are data stream projectors....

☒ Chosen narratives provided by their handlers, our captors....

Definitions

1. **NARRATIVES:** Lines of beliefs which become deeply emotionally tied to us, and thus work to exert constant control over what we believe or “want” to believe. Driven by emotion and ego!

2. ARCHONS-ENERGY WRAITHS-DEVILS-FALLEN *etc.*:

Written into virtually every aspect of human historical and religious cultic superstition, they are other Soul creations, created by the same supreme creator entity who created our own Living-souls, but have unrepentantly broken His 1st law of Freewill in a previous time and space and relegated to the lower realms. Afterward, coming here to this creation, usurping it via the consent of all the souls within it, they began *reformatting* this creations original condition by our collective souls ability to create that which we assimilate and project outward via beliefs and emotions. Their main goal is controlling us through "narratives" in order to produce negative frequencies (vibes) by our consent, consciously or unconsciously, through the many “**False-Negative-Control Narratives**” for their own benefit.

3. SOUL-FEAR: Narrative’s imposed previously in life (usually religious), which work on both the conscious and subconscious levels to inhibit our conscious choice to seek out other information that may conflict with the “Chosen Narrative.” (by strong emotions)

4. The Holographic Creation Space idea scares me:

☒ **The way the Creator, creates is really none of our concern. If our original state of being is a plasma based light body which requires nothing from its surroundings to live, then how our perceived literal physical surrounds are constructed matter not.**

☒ **Being a “physical” matter-based super-hologram**

would then be a perfect way for “light-being” entities to experience an innumerable variety of existences’! Of course just like any theme park or vacation resort there are hard, fast rules that all are expected to follow. And of course consequences when broken.

☒ Thus, the Creators way of creating such places as this *Super-Matter-based- hologram* is not intrinsically negative in its original form. How it has been continuously reformatted into another entities “image” is the negative stream.

☒ Why can I feel the hologram: Although I go into great detail on this point in my book, in short, the reason we cannot physically interact with the holograms we now create ourselves, is because WE are the creative source, and we exist outside of the hologram we created. Some change in Phase & Frequency would have to occur for us to be able to physically interact with the hologram we created. *The question should be; can our holograms physically interact with each other?*

INTRO: BANK OF AMERIKA-MERRILL LYNCH - Is A.I breaking its silence - <http://www.businessinsider.com/bank-of-america-wonders-about-the-matrix-2016-9?IR=T>

Randy Said:

“An AI has now broken silence on its darkest secret? HOW does a corporate artifice, BANK OF AMERICA-MERRILL LYNCH, speak to the construct of our matrix reality? I read this article with my jaw dropped. Here is a truth, wrapped in a probability, announced on a financial website by one of the most powerful and corrupt corporations on the planet...is the machine self-aware, or is this more

hypnosis inside the machine?”

My response based on the findings of **Soul Revolution**:

Randy muses, ‘is this is just more hypnosis from inside the virtual reality’ – (Holoverse as I coined it). I say that yes, if they are now beginning to let portions, and I stress “portions”, of this reality out to the masses of souls, it is ONLY because they intend to prove the true reality of our Eternal Creator’s, creation space, but in a skewed light, fore they are those who took adverse possession of it, and have been *reformatting* it via their many false control narratives ever since. This has been done with the direct and ongoing aid of our creative souls collectively, or it could never have been done. I believe their end-game will be to prove “their version” of this created space (Holoverse), for the sole reason, *or more accurately, the “Soul reason”*, in order to convince all people/souls by some reasoning that we all must allow ourselves/consciousness/souls to be removed from these physical bodies and uploaded into a new “created space” for our long expected “ascension”. Or should we call it a RAPTURE event? Hmmm....

This will of course be the greatest lie of all! Or should we call it the greatest delusion?..Hmmm... Amazing how these alleged Christian terms seem to line up within this context.

Is our world a simulation? Why some scientists say it's more likely than not

A swath of technologists and physicists believe that ‘simulation theory’ will be proved, just as it was proved that the Earth was not the center of the universe

Elon Musk is among those who believe we may be living in a simulated universe. Photograph: Stringer/Reuters
Olivia Solon in San Francisco

Tuesday 11 October 2016 08.30 EDT Last modified on Wednesday 12 October

2016 03.47 EDT

W

hen Elon Musk isn't outlining plans to use his massive rocket to [leave a decaying Planet Earth and colonize Mars](#), he sometimes talks about his belief that Earth isn't even real and we probably live in a computer simulation.

"There's a billion to one chance we're living in base reality," he [said at a conference in June](#).

The rise of robots: forget evil AI – the real risk is far more insidious

Musk is just one of the people in [Silicon Valley](#) to take a keen interest in the "simulation hypothesis", which argues that what we experience as reality is actually a giant computer simulation created by a more sophisticated intelligence. If it sounds a lot like The Matrix, that's because it is.

According to this week's [New Yorker profile](#) of [Y Combinator venture capitalist Sam Altman](#), there are two tech billionaires secretly engaging scientists to work on breaking us out of the simulation. But what does this mean? And what evidence is there that we are, in fact, living in The Matrix?

One popular argument for the simulation hypothesis, outside of acid trips, came from Oxford University's Nick Bostrom in 2003 (although the idea dates back as far as the 17th-century philosopher René Descartes). In a [paper](#) titled "Are You Living In a Simulation?", Bostrom suggested that members of an advanced "posthuman" civilization with vast computing power might choose to run simulations of their ancestors in the universe.

This argument is extrapolated from observing current trends in technology, including the rise of virtual reality and efforts to map the human brain.

Quite frankly if we are not living in a simulation it is an extraordinarily unlikely circumstance

Rich Terrile, scientist at Nasa's Jet Propulsion Laboratory

If we believe that there is nothing supernatural about what causes consciousness and it's merely the product of a very complex architecture in the human brain, we'll be able to reproduce it. "Soon there will be nothing

technical standing in the way to making machines that have their own consciousness,” said Rich Terrile, a scientist at Nasa’s Jet Propulsion Laboratory.

At the same time, videogames are becoming more and more sophisticated and in the future we’ll be able to have simulations of conscious entities inside them.

Elon Musk on simulation: ‘The odds we’re in base reality is one in billions’ – video

“Forty years ago we had Pong – two rectangles and a dot. That’s where we were. Now 40 years later, we have photorealistic, 3D simulations with millions of people playing simultaneously and it’s getting better every year. And soon we’ll have virtual reality, we’ll have augmented reality,” said Musk. “If you assume any rate of improvement at all, then the games will become indistinguishable from reality.”

It’s a view shared by Terrile. “If one progresses at the current rate of technology a few decades into the future, very quickly we will be a society where there are artificial entities living in simulations that are much more abundant than human beings.”

Recognizing we live in a simulation is game-changing, like Copernicus realizing Earth was not the center of the universe

If there are many more simulated minds than organic ones, then the chances of us being among the real minds starts to look more and more unlikely. As Terrile puts it: “If in the future there are more digital people living in simulated environments than there are today, then what is to say we are not part of that already?”

Reasons to believe that the universe is a simulation include the fact that it behaves mathematically and is broken up into pieces (subatomic particles) like a pixelated video game. “Even things that we think of as continuous – time, energy, space, volume – all have a finite limit to their size. If that’s the case, then our universe is both computable and finite. Those properties allow the universe to be simulated,” Terrile said.

Advertisement

“Quite frankly, if we are not living in a simulation, it is an extraordinarily

unlikely circumstance,” he added.

So who has created this simulation? “Our future selves,” said Terrile.

Not everyone is so convinced by the hypothesis. “Is it logically possible that we are in a simulation? Yes. Are we probably in a simulation? I would say no,” said Max Tegmark, a professor of physics at MIT.

“In order to make the argument in the first place, we need to know what the fundamental laws of physics are where the simulations are being made. And if we are in a simulation then we have no clue what the laws of physics are. What I teach at MIT would be the simulated laws of physics,” he said.

Harvard theoretical physicist Lisa Randall is even more skeptical. “I don’t see that there’s really an argument for it,” she said. “There’s no real evidence.”

“It’s also a lot of hubris to think we would be what ended up being simulated.”

Terrile believes that recognizing that we are probably living in a simulation is as game-changing as Copernicus realizing that the Earth was not the center of the universe. “It was such a profound idea that it wasn’t even thought of as an assumption,” he said.

Before Copernicus, scientists had tried to explain the peculiar behaviour of the planets’ motion with complex mathematical models. “When they dropped the assumption, everything else became much simpler to understand.”

How much should we fear the rise of artificial intelligence?

That we might be in a simulation is, Terrile argues, a simpler explanation for our existence than the idea that we are the first generation to rise up from primordial ooze and evolve into molecules, biology and eventually intelligence and self-awareness. The simulation hypothesis also accounts for peculiarities in quantum mechanics, particularly the [measurement problem](#), whereby things only become defined when they are observed.

“For decades it’s been a problem. Scientists have bent over backwards to eliminate the idea that we need a conscious observer. Maybe the real solution is you do need a conscious entity like a conscious player of a video

game,” he said.

For Tegmark, this doesn't make sense. “We have a lot of problems in physics and we can't blame our failure to solve them on simulation.”

How can the hypothesis be put to the test? On one hand, neuroscientists and artificial intelligence researchers can check whether it's possible to simulate the human mind. So far, machines have proven to be good at playing chess and Go and putting captions on images. But can a machine achieve consciousness? We don't know.

The good, the bad and the cyborgs: Westworld's robot forebears

On the other hand, scientists can look for hallmarks of simulation. “Suppose someone is simulating our universe – it would be very tempting to cut corners in ways that makes the simulation cheaper to run. You could look for evidence of that in an experiment,” said Tegmark.

For Terrile, the simulation hypothesis has “beautiful and profound” implications.

First, it provides a scientific basis for some kind of afterlife or larger domain of reality above our world. “You don't need a miracle, faith or anything special to believe it. It comes naturally out of the laws of physics,” he said.

Second, it means we will soon have the same ability to create our own simulations.

“We will have the power of mind and matter to be able to create whatever we want and occupy those worlds.”